



## ARGUPOLIS PhD Program Argumentation in context

**Francisca Snoeck Henkemans**

Lugano, May 31 - June 2 2010

Hotel Colorado ([www.colorado.ch](http://www.colorado.ch))

### Programme

---

|               |            |                     |
|---------------|------------|---------------------|
| Monday May 31 | 9.30-12.00 | Individual meetings |
|---------------|------------|---------------------|

---

|                     |             |   |
|---------------------|-------------|---|
| 1. Monday May 31    | 14.00-17.00 | Problems in reconstructing argumentative discourse:<br>Complex argumentation                        |
| 2. Tuesday June 1   | 9.00-11.00  | Problems in reconstructing argumentative discourse:<br>Counterarguments                             |
|                     | 11.15-12.00 | Student presentations (half of the students): short report on<br>their research                     |
|                     | 14.00-17.30 | Exercises in reconstructing argumentative texts   |
| 3. Wednesday June 2 | 9.00-11.00  | Problems in reconstructing argumentative discourse:<br>Conversational argument                      |
|                     | 11.15-12.00 | Student presentations (half of the students): short report on<br>their research                     |
|                     | 14.00-17.30 | Strategic maneuvering with presentational means:<br>Metonymia, rhetorical questions and praeteritio |
| 4. Thursday June 3  | 9.30-12.00  | Exercises in analyzing strategic maneuvering with<br>presentational means                           |

### Literature per session

#### *Session 1 (Monday May 31)*

- M.A. van Rees (2001). Argument interpretation and reconstruction. In: F.H. van Eemeren (Ed.), *Crucial Concepts in Argumentation Theory*, pp. 165-199. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press.
- A.F. Snoeck Henkemans (1997). *Analysing Complex Argumentation*. Chapters 2 and 4. Amsterdam: Sic Sat.

- A.F. Snoeck Henkemans (2003). Indicators of argumentation structures. In: J. A. Blair et al. (eds.), *Informal Logic at 25: Proceedings of the Windsor Conference* (pp. 1-9). CD-Rom, Windsor, ON: OSSA.

### **Session 2 (Tuesday June 1)**

- A.F. Snoeck Henkemans (1997). *Analysing Complex Argumentation*. Chapter 6. Amsterdam: Sic Sat.
- A.F. Snoeck Henkemans (1995). *But* as an indicator of counter-arguments and concessions. *Leuvense Bijdragen* 84, 1-14.

### **Session 3 (Wednesday June 2)**

#### **Morning**

- F.H. van Eemeren, Grootendorst, R., Jackson, S. & Jacobs, S. (1993). *Reconstructing argumentative discourse*, Tuscaloosa: The University of Alabama Press. Ch. 4 and 5.
- M.A. van Rees (2001). The diagnostic power of the stages of critical discussion in the analysis and evaluation of problem-solving discussions. *Argumentation* 15, 457-470.

#### **Afternoon**

- A.F. Snoeck Henkemans (2008). Manoeuvring strategically with metonymy in the confrontation and argumentation stages of a discussion. In: F.H. van Eemeren, D.C. Williams & I. Z. Zagar (Eds.), *Understanding Argumentation. Work in Progress*, pp. 69-77. Amsterdam: Sic Sat – Rozenberg.
- Snoeck Henkemans, A.F. (2009). Manoeuvring strategically with rhetorical questions. In: F.H. van Eemeren and B. Garssen (Eds.), *Pondering on Problems of Argumentation*, pp. 15-23. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Snoeck Henkemans, A.F. (2009). The contribution of *praeteritio* to arguers' confrontational strategic manoeuvres. In: F.H. van Eemeren (ed.), *Examining Argumentation in Context; Fifteen studies on strategic maneuvering*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, pp. 241-255.

### **Prereadings**

M.A. van Rees (2001). Argument interpretation and reconstruction. In: F.H. van Eemeren (Ed.), *Crucial Concepts in Argumentation Theory*, pp. 165-199. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press.

- A.F. Snoeck Henkemans (1997). *Analysing Complex Argumentation*. Chapters 2, 4 and 6. Amsterdam: Sic Sat.